

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA
2 United States Attorney
3 MACK E. JENKINS
4 Assistant United States Attorney
5 Chief, Criminal Division
6 MIRI SONG (Cal. Bar No. 291140)
7 Assistant United States Attorney
8 International Narcotics, Money
9 Laundering, & Racketeering Section
10 1400 United States Courthouse
11 312 North Spring Street
12 Los Angeles, California 90012
13 Telephone: (213) 894-2262
14 Facsimile: (213) 894-0142
15 E-mail: miri.song@usdoj.gov

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff
17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

19 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

20 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 2:23-564-MWF-1,2,5

21 Plaintiff,

STIPULATION REGARDING REQUEST FOR
(1) CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE AND
(2) FINDINGS OF EXCLUDABLE TIME
PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL
ACT

22 v.

23 ERICK OVED ESTRADA, ET AL.,

CURRENT TRIAL DATE: 11/12/2024
PROPOSED TRIAL DATE: 8/5/2025

24 **ERICK OVED ESTRADA (#1),**

CURRENT SC DATE: 10/7/2024
PROPOSED SC DATE: 6/23/2025

25 **ARIAN ALANI (#2), and**

26 **CASEYA CHANEL BROWN (#5),**

27 Defendants.

28 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel
of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of
California and Assistant United States Attorney MiRi Song, and
defendants Erick Oved Estrada (#1) ("E. ESTRADA"), Arian Alani (#2)
("ALANI"), and Caseya Chanel Brown (#5) ("BROWN"), (collectively,
"defendants"), both individually and by and through their respective
counsel of record, Andy Beltran and Meghan A. Blanco, Deputy Federal

1 Public Defender Shannon M. Coit, and Robert M. Helfend,
2 (collectively, the "parties"), hereby stipulate as follows:

3 1. The Indictment in this case was filed on November 15, 2023.
4 Defendants E. ESTRADA, ALANI, Gilberto Marquez (#4) ("MARQUEZ"), and
5 Zoila Estrada (#6) ("Z. ESTRADA") first appeared before a judicial
6 officer of the court in which the charges in this case were pending
7 on November 22, 2023. The Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,
8 originally required that the trial commence as to defendants E.
9 ESTRADA, ALANI, MARQUEZ, and Z. ESTRADA on or before January 31,
10 2024.

11 2. Defendant BROWN was a fugitive until she self-surrendered
12 on December 1, 2023. The Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,
13 originally required that the trial commence as to defendant BROWN on
14 or before February 9, 2024.

15 3. Defendant Tomas Marquez-Ruiz (#3) ("MARQUEZ-RUIZ") was a
16 fugitive until he was apprehended and first appeared before a
17 judicial officer of the court in which charges in this case were
18 pending on December 19, 2023. The Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.
19 § 3161, originally required that the trial commence as to defendant
20 MARQUEZ-RUIZ on or before February 27, 2024.

21 4. On November 22, 2023, the Court set a trial date of January
22 16, 2024, and a status conference date of December 4, 2023 as to
23 defendants E. ESTRADA, ALANI, MARQUEZ, and Z. ESTRADA.

24 5. On December 1, 2023, the Court set a trial date of January
25 23, 2024, and a status conference date of December 11, 2023, as to
26 defendant BROWN.

27 //
28 //

1 6. On December 19, 2023, the Court set a trial date of
2 February 13, 2024, and a status conference date of January 8, 2024,
3 as to defendant MARQUEZ-RUIZ.

4 7. Defendants E. ESTRADA, ALANI, and MARQUEZ are currently
5 detained. Defendants BROWN and Z. ESTRADA were granted bail.
6 Defendant MARQUEZ-RUIZ is under home confinement. The parties
7 estimate that the trial in this matter will last approximately
8 eighteen days. All defendants are joined for trial and a severance
9 has not been granted.

10 8. By this stipulation, defendants move to continue the trial
11 date to Augus 5, 2025, and the status conference date to June 23,
12 2025. This is the second request for a continuance.

13 9. Defendants request the continuance based upon the following
14 facts, which the parties believe demonstrate good cause to support
15 the appropriate findings under the Speedy Trial Act:

16 a. Defendants are charged with violation(s) of 21 U.S.C.
17 §§ 846, 841(a)(1) (Distribution of Controlled Substances and
18 Conspiracy) and/or 18 U.S.C. § 1957 (Transactional Money Laundering).
19 The government has produced discovery to the defense, including
20 laboratory reports, reports of investigations, photographs, audio and
21 video recordings, transcripts, police reports, and investigative
22 process applications and returns. The government anticipates
23 providing additional discovery.

24 b. Defense counsel for defendant E. ESTRADA provides the
25 following conflicts: (1) United States v. Jesus Hernandez, Case No.
26 24-cr-4-CAB, trial scheduled and confirmed for October 15, 2024, in
27 the Southern District of California; (2) People v. Bennett, Case No.
28 YA103606, trial in a multi-victim sex abuse case scheduled for trial

1 within 10 days of October 14, 2024; (3) United States v. Moustapha,
2 Case No. 2:24-cr-00168-MCS, trial scheduled for December 10, 2024;
3 (4) United States v. Patron Hernandez, 21-CR-1638-WQH, month long
4 federal hostage taking and murder trial starting January 7, 2025 in
5 the Southern District of California. Accordingly, counsel represent
6 that they will not have the time that they believe is necessary to
7 prepare to try this case on the current trial date.

8 c. Defense counsel for defendant ALANI provides the
9 following conflicts: (1) United States v. Herrera, case no. 24-cr-
10 00120-MEMF, single defendant and drug distribution case that is set
11 to start February 10, 2025, estimated trial length: one week;
12 (2) United States v. Chavez, case no. 23-cr-00067-MWF, single
13 defendant and felon in possession case that is set to start March 18,
14 2025 and is estimated to last one week; (3) United States v. Navarro,
15 et al., case no. 23-cr-00461-MCS, multi-defendant and campaign fraud
16 case that is set to start April 22, 2025 and is estimated to last
17 three weeks; (4) United States v. Bowers, et al., case no. 24-cr-
18 00541-TJH, multi-defendant and robbery of postal workers that is set
19 to start May 6, 2025 and is estimated to last one week; (5) United
20 States v. Guzman, case no. 24-cr-00289-ODW, single defendant and
21 felon in possession case that is set to start May 6, 2025 and is
22 estimated to last two to three days; and (6) United States v. Mangum,
23 case no. 24-cr-00128-HDV, multi-defendant and bank fraud case that is
24 set to start May 7, 2025 (parties are negotiating plea agreement) and
25 is estimated to last four to five days. Accordingly, counsel
26 represents that she will not have the time that she believes is
27 necessary to prepare to try this case on the current trial date.

28 //

1 d. Defense counsel for defendant BROWN provides the
2 following conflicts: (1) People v. Jaime Torres, Case No. 2020033595,
3 jury trial to start on October 11, 2024; (2) People v. Christopher
4 Baum, Case No. 2019025082, jury trial currently scheduled for
5 November 4, 2024, but to be moved to December 3, 2024; (3) United
6 States v. Brian Uehara, Case No. 23-cr-00150-DOC, jury trial to start
7 on November 12, 2024; (4) People v. Johnson, Case No. BA485238, jury
8 trial to start on November 18, 2024; and (5) United States v.
9 Cushman, Case No. 24-cr-00093-02, jury trial to start on February 11,
10 2025. Accordingly, counsel represents that he will not have the time
11 that he believes is necessary to prepare and will not be available to
12 try this case on the current trial date.

13 e. In light of the foregoing, counsel for defendants also
14 represent that additional time is necessary to confer with
15 defendants, conduct and complete an independent investigation of the
16 case, conduct and complete additional legal research including for
17 potential pre-trial motions, review the discovery and potential
18 evidence in the case, and prepare for trial in the event that a
19 pretrial resolution does not occur. Defense counsel represents that
20 failure to grant the continuance would deny them reasonable time
21 necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise
22 of due diligence.

23 f. Defendants believe that failure to grant the
24 continuance will deny them continuity of counsel and adequate
25 representation.

26 g. The government does not object to the continuance.

27 h. The requested continuance is not based on congestion
28 of the Court's calendar, lack of diligent preparation on the part of

1 the attorney for the government or the defense, or failure on the
2 part of the attorney for the Government to obtain available
3 witnesses.

4 10. For purposes of computing the date under the Speedy Trial
5 Act by which defendants' trial must commence, the parties agree that
6 the time period of November 12, 2024 to August 5, 2025, inclusive,
7 should be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A),
8 (h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv) because the delay results from a
9 continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request, without
10 government objection, on the basis of the Court's finding that: (i)
11 the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best
12 interest of the public and defendants in a speedy trial; (ii) failure
13 to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of
14 the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and
15 (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny
16 defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the
17 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into
18 account the exercise of due diligence.

19 11. The parties agree that, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6),
20 the time period of November 12, 2024/ to August 5, 2025, inclusive,
21 constitutes a reasonable period of delay for defendants MARQUEZ-RUIZ,
22 MARQUEZ, and Z. ESTRADA, who are joined for trial with their co-
23 defendants and as to whom the time for trial has not run and no
24 motion for severance has been granted.

25 //
26 //
27 //
28 //

1 12. Nothing in this stipulation shall preclude a finding that
2 other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
3 periods be excluded from the period within which trial must commence.
4 Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy
5 Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional
6 time periods from the period within which trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: October 29, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

E. MARTIN ESTRADA
United States Attorney

MACK E. JENKINS
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Criminal Division

/s/ MiRi Song

MIRI SONG
Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

1 I am ERICK OVED ESTRADA's attorney. I have carefully discussed
2 every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the trial date
3 with my client. I have fully informed my client of his Speedy Trial
4 rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those rights and
5 agrees to waive them. I believe that my client's decision to give up
6 the right to be brought to trial earlier than August 5, 2025, is an
7 informed and voluntary one.

8

9 ANDY BELTRAN
MEGHAN BLANCO
10 Attorneys for Defendant
ERICK OVED ESTRADA (#1)

Date

12 I have read this stipulation and have carefully discussed it
13 with my attorney. I understand my Speedy Trial rights. I
14 voluntarily agree to the continuance of the trial date and give up my
15 right to be brought to trial earlier than August 5, 2025.

17

18 ERICK OVED ESTRADA
Defendant

Date

I am ARIAN ALANI's attorney. I have carefully discussed every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the trial date with my client. I have fully informed my client of his Speedy Trial rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those rights and agrees to waive them. I believe that my client's decision to give up the right to be brought to trial earlier than August 5, 2025, is an informed and voluntary one.

8 /s/ Shannon Coit 11/04/2024

9 SHANNON D. COIT
10 Deputy Federal Public Defender
Attorney for Defendant
ARIAN ALANI (#2)

1

I have read this stipulation and have carefully discussed it
with my attorney. I understand my Speedy Trial rights. I
voluntarily agree to the continuance of the trial date and give up my
right to be brought to trial earlier than August 5, 2025.

ARIAN ALANI
Defendant

11/01/2024

1 I am CASEYA CHANEL BROWN's attorney. I have carefully discussed
2 every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the trial date
3 with my client. I have fully informed my client of her Speedy Trial
4 rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those rights and
5 agrees to waive them. I believe that my client's decision to give up
6 the right to be brought to trial earlier than August 5, 2025, is an
7 informed and voluntary one.

8 

10/31/2024

9 ROBERT M. HELFEND
10 Attorney for Defendant
CASEYA CHANEL BROWN (#5)

Date

11
12 I have read this stipulation and have carefully discussed it
13 with my attorney. I understand my Speedy Trial rights. I
14 voluntarily agree to the continuance of the trial date, and give up
15 my right to be brought to trial earlier than August 5, 2025. I
16 understand that I will be ordered to appear in Courtroom 5A of the
17 Federal Courthouse, 350 W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, California on
18 August 5, 2025, at 8:30 a.m.

19 

11/1/2024

20 CASEYA CHANEL BROWN
Defendant

Date

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28